



Hawley Hall High School

Carr Lane, Wigan WN3 5NY | T: 01942 204640
E: admin@hhhs.net | W: www.hhhs.net

Headteacher: Mr M. Klinck



Centre Policy for GCSEs summer 2021





Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades – summer 2021:

Hawley Hall High School

Statement of intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

Statement of Intent

This section provides details of the purpose of this document, as appropriate to our centre Hawley Hall High School:

The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.



Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Roles and Responsibilities

This section gives details of the roles and responsibilities within our centre:

Head of Centre

- Our Head of Centre, Mr Mathew Klinck, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- Our Head of Centre, Mr Klinck has overall responsibility for Hawley Hall High School as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- Our Head of Centre, Mr Klinck will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- Our Head of Centre, Mr Klinck will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced for all subjects with entries for summer 2021 and signed-off in advance of results being submitted up to Friday 18th June 2021

Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Department

Our Senior Leadership Team and Heads of Departments will:

- provide training and support to all our staff that are involved in any stage of the process of awarding assessed grades for Summer 2021
- hold regular, minuted, line management meetings with the respective Head of faculty/department of the faculties they line manage to discuss agreed agenda items
- Deputy Headteacher to hold Microsoft Teams weekly meetings with curriculum leaders to disseminate information and facilitate appropriate support and training
- Produce a timeline of training, meetings, support, administration and moderation in the collation of evidence towards awarding grades
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure that all staff involved in the process of awarding grades are fully aware of the Rowan Learning Trust's guiding principles
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
- ensure that a Head of Department Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.



Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo

Our teachers, specialist teachers and SENCo will:

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control (with the level of control being recorded) and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.
- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded and justified where applicable
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions in light of any evidence being requested by examination boards or students as part of external quality assurance or appeals to awarded grades.

Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer will:

- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.
- ensure heads of departments are kept up to date with any newly released materials from the examination boards
- ensure all relevant policies are updated, amended where applicable and adhered to



Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Training

This section provides details of the approach our centre will take to *training, support and guidance in determining teacher assessed grades this year*

- Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students through meetings on Microsoft Teams, PowerPoint presentations, faculty meetings etc.
- All HoFs and HoDs attend a weekly Teams meeting for dissemination of information and to discuss faculty procedures and then cascade information accordingly to departmental members
- SLT and examination team to disseminate all relevant information provided by Ofqual, DfE, JCQ, examination boards to teachers involved in the awarding of grades
- All relevant information/training pertaining to the awarding of grades for summer 2021 provided by ASCL and The National College to be viewed and disseminated where applicable
- Heads of faculty/departments will receive training with regards to recording of assessments, sources of evidence, faculty check lists and rationale for awarding grades
- Implementation of a timeline of activities to support staff involved in the process of awarding grades
- Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.

Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

This section provides details of our approach to *training, support and guidance for newly qualified teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment*

- We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.
- Where an NQT has sole responsibility of a Year 11 teaching class 2020 – 2021 there is continuing professional development within departments to ensure NQTs are familiar with the assessment criteria of that subject throughout the entire two year GCSE for determining working at grades when internally reporting.
- We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate.



Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: *Guidance on grading for teachers*.

A. Use of evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals
- We will be using student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.
- We will use student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
- We will use substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning) where appropriate
- We will use internal tests taken by pupils.
- We will use mock exams taken over the course of study.
- We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as music, drama and PE.

We provide further detail in the following areas:

Additional Assessment Materials

- We will use additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.
- We will use additional assessment materials to give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.
- We will use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.
- We will combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that hasn't been taught.
- We will reference the GCSE grade descriptors provided by JCQ to best fit the awarding of a GCSE grade from the broad range of evidence gathered.



Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home by identifying and recording the assessed work as (H) – High, (M) Medium, - (L) Limited
- We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will ensure that the candidates authenticate their own work in all subject areas and reference, if applicable, when it isn't all their own work, by signing a declaration form
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.
- We will provide details of students' periods of absence where applicable and consider the differing types of evidence gathered. This will be referenced on the student evidence sheet



Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.

Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

We give details here of our centre's approach to awarding teacher assessed grades.

- HoFs have identified through their respective schemes of work the content that has been delivered face to face, through independent platforms, remote online learning through Microsoft Teams and any content that hasn't been delivered due to the COVID 19 pandemic
- All teachers will only assess taught content and will not assess any untaught content – however, this may be applicable if it demonstrates a deeper understanding of linked content/objectives
- Most assessments will be timed, and the relevant examination board mark schemes adhered to if past paper questions are used
- Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will not use FFT modelling predicted grades as a basis of awarding assessed grades in the first instance. SLT will analyse this data to create lines of enquiries if the grades look too high or too low as part of the internal quality assurance process
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.
- Our teachers will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Head of Department. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded and shared.
- Our teachers will not consider any predicted or aspirational grades for students during the process of awarding grades for summer 2021 and award purely on the standard of evidence produced and any mitigating circumstances.



Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

Internal quality assurance

This section gives details of our approach to internal standardisation, within and across subject departments.

- We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
 - Arriving at teacher assessed grades
 - Marking of evidence
 - Reaching a holistic grading decision
 - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre.
 - This will be the Head of the faculty in which the subject as attached to, alongside a member of SLT, to quality assure the awarding of grades
- In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.



Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

This section gives details of our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
- We will consider the Key Stage 2 attainment on entry of the year group in comparison to the cohorts for 2017-2019 with the caveat that this cohort is the first nationally with Standardised Assessed Scores as opposed to National Curriculum levels
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will consider the teaching and learning journey of departments over the period of 2017 – 2021 when comparing grades from a previous cohort
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.
- We will consider FFT benchmark modelling for this year's cohort in comparison to previous cohorts' targets and performance

This section gives details of the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

- We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
- We will analyse subgroup performance over the period of 2017-2019 using dynamic filters on 4Matrix. Heads of faculty/departments will be provided with the three year historical outcomes to compare with their initial grades submitted for internal quality assurance
- We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.
- We will analyse subject performance through the analysis of relevant FFT dashboards and FFT benchmark modelling



This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

- We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.
- Where new subjects have no historical data from 2017-2019 (Business Studies, Childcare, Construction) we will consider the NEAs guidance, the external moderator's guidance and use of examination board specifications, together with the summer 2021 support materials, to accurately award grades

Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

This section gives details of our approach to access arrangements and mitigating circumstances (special consideration).

- Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- SENCo and Inclusion faculty to provide continued appropriate support, where applicable, if available during the COVID 19 pandemic
- Assessment opportunities within subjects will be communicated to the SENCo through a planned timeframe to ensure that students who have agreed access arrangements are catered for
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and alternative evidence obtained through dialogue with involved stakeholders
- Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.
- We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
- To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special](#)



consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020 along with further referenced information disseminated with regards to special consideration



Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

B. Addressing Disruption/Differentiated Lost Learning (DLL)

This section gives details of our approach to address disruption or differentiated lost teaching.

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.
- Narratives to be produced for students that have been affected by differentiated lost learning due to COVID 19 pandemic. This will be recorded on the evidence sheet.



Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

Objectivity

This section gives a summary of the arrangements in place within our centre in relation to objectivity.

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:

- sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
- how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias; and bias in teacher assessed grades.
- the training to be provided to discuss bias and objectivity. OFQUAL and JCQ guidance documentation have been disseminated and read by teaching staff involved in the awarding of grades
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/maintaining-objectivity>
[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/972286/6768-5-Information for centres about making objective judgements 2021.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/972286/6768-5-Information_for_centres_about_making_objective_judgements_2021.pdf)

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- unconscious bias can skew judgements;
- the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
- teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
- unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

- Timetabled, minuted, meetings with HoF/HoDs with committee of Senior Leaders to justify the awarding of grades for subject cohorts before submission



Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

C. Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data

This section outlines our approach to recording decisions and retaining evidence and data.

- We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades and that these will be available for internal and external quality assurance processes
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- A timeline of implementation has been disseminated to all stakeholders involved
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).
- We will ensure that there is a contingency policy for access to data in the event of a non-planned event e.g. a further lockdown, cyber-attack etc – this contingency policy will be at school and Rowan Learning Trust level



Authenticating evidence

D. Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- Robust mechanisms, which will include, all assessments used as evidence with the level of control recorded with it will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.
- Quality assuring grades over the two-year course to consider progression over time
- It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations https://qualifications.pearson.com/content/dam/pdf/Support/DD02688_Customer_Facing_Asset_V2g.pdf to support these determinations of authenticity.
- Staff will sign an authentication form to evidence the level of control over the assessment.
- Students will sign an authentication form to declare the work submitted is all their own and where it isn't, reference will be made to the nature of any external source



Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

A. Confidentiality

This section details the measures in place in our centre to maintain the confidentiality of grades, while sharing information regarding the range of evidence on which the grades will be based.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.
- Settings in 4Matrix will be enabled to ensure access only for SLT once the grades have been submitted until the date of publication on results day Thursday 12th August 2021
- Microsoft Teams meetings with staff to reiterate that no final grades can be discussed with students and parents
- Communication with students with regards to marks awarded for an assessment without a discussion of a final awarded grade. This is quality assured by student voice during SLT walkabout
- All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
- Record of evidence to be used per subject to be collated
- Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.

Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

B. Malpractice

This section details the measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements.

- Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
- All staff involved have been made aware of these policies and have received training in them as necessary.



- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - breaches of internal security;
 - deception;
 - improper assistance to students;
 - failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;
 - over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;
 - allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;
 - centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages;
 - failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.

- The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

C. Conflicts of Interest

This section details our approach to addressing conflicts of interest, and how we will respond to such allegations.

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).
- We will also carefully consider the need if to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.
- Settings in 4Matrix will be enabled to ensure access only for SLT once the grades have been submitted until the date of publication on results day Thursday 12th August 2021



External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

A. External Quality Assurance

This section outlines the arrangements we have in place to ensure the relevant documentation and assessment evidence can be provided in a timely manner for the purposes of External Quality Assurance sampling, and that staff can be made available to respond to enquiries.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.



Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

A. Results

This section details our approach to the issue of results to students and the provision of advice and guidance.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students – non term time working hours to be implemented to facilitate this process
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Parents/guardians will be made aware of arrangements for GCSE results days Thursday 12th August 2021 during this academic term
- Through consultation with national, local and union advice/guidance students will either receive their results face to face in school on Thursday 12th August 2021 or the contingency of results being emailed out to students' school email account.



Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

A. Appeals

This section details our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, and subsequent appeals to awarding organisations.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff are being briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which college/apprenticeship places depend.
- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers via parental communication during term time, via the school website and individual letters of communication where applicable